CONFIDENTIAL

DISCIPLINARY COMMITTEE [BENCH - IV (2021-2022)]

[Constituted under Section 21B of the Chartered Accountants (Amendment) Act, 19491

Findings under Rule 18(17) read with Rule 19(2) of the Chartered Accountants (Procedure of Investigations of Professional and Other Misconduct and Conduct of Cases) Rules, 2007.

File No.: [PR-370/14/DD/12/2015/DC/802/2018]

In the matter of:

Shri Sanjay Chiripal N-1, Riviera Apartments 45. Mall Road. **DELHI - 110 054**

.....Complainant

Versus

CA. Rajnish Kumar Agrawal Partner, M/s SKR & Associates Chartered Accountants Flat No.G-2, 4348/4C Ansari Road. Darya Gani **NEW DELHI - 110 002**

... (M. No. 072913) ... (FRN No. 00160N)

..... Respondent

MEMBERS PRESENT:

CA. Nihar Niranjan Jambusaria, Presiding Officer Ms. Nita Chowdhury, Member (Govt. Nominee) Shri Arun Kumar, Member (Govt. Nominee) CA. G.Sekar, Member CA. Manu Agrawal, Member

DATE OF FINAL HEARING: 26.07.2021 through Video Conferencing

PARTIES PRESENT:

NIL



Charges in Brief:-

1. The Committee noted that in the Prima-Facie Opinion formed by Director (Discipline) in terms of Rule 9 of the Chartered Accountants (Procedure of Investigations of Professional and Other Misconduct and Conduct of Cases) Rules, 2007, the Respondent was held prima facie guilty of Professional Misconduct falling within the meaning of Clause (7) of Part-I of Second Schedule to the Chartered Accountants, Act, 1949. The said Clause to the Schedule state as under:-

Second schedule

Part I

- "(7) does not exercise due diligence, or is grossly negligent in the conduct of his professional duties;"
- It was noted that the matter pertains to audit of the accounts of Riviera 2. Apartment Owners Co-operative Housing Society Limited (hereinafter referred to as 'Society') for the financial year 2013-14 which was conducted by the Respondent. The charges levelled against the Respondent were as follows:
- That the Respondent had in violation of the restraint Order dated 04th June, 2.1 2013 issued by the Registrar of Co-operative Societies conducted audit of the Society when the strength of the Managing Committee was below the minimum required limit of seven and that vide the said Order the Society was restrained from taking any major financial or policy decisions.
- The alleged audit of the Society for the year 2013-14 was conducted without 2.2 ensuring that the Registrar of Co-operative Societies, New Delhi had approved Respondent firm's name for conducting audit of Society for the said period and thus violated his own undertaking in the consent form/ acceptance certificate which states that "Our consent is subject to approval by the office of the Registrar Co-operative Societies".

Brief facts of the Proceedings:

During hearing held on 26th July, 2021, the Committee noted that neither the Complainant nor the Respondent was present before it for hearing. It was, further, noted that whereas the Complainant had sought adjournment of previous hearing scheduled on 03.05.2021, in respect of extant hearing, there

Page 2

was no communication received from him. As regard the Respondent, it was noted that vide e-mail dated 14.07.2021, he had submitted to have already made his submissions in the matter vide e-mail dated 06.07.2021 and same be considered by the Committee to decide on the matter. In the absence of any adjournment request from any party, the Committee decided to proceed in terms of the principle of natural justice and proviso to Rule 18(7) of the Chartered Accountants (Procedure of Investigations of Professional and Other Misconduct and Conduct of Cases) Rules, 2007 which states as follows:-

"Provided that if the respondent does not appear for the first hearing even after one adjournment, the reading out of charge or charges along with summary of prima facie opinion shall be made in his absence and the case proceeded with in accordance with the provisions of this Chapter."

4. Based on the documents/information as available on record as well as written submissions of the Respondent, the , the Committee concluded hearing in the matter

Findings of the Committee

5. The Committee noted that that the charges alleged in extant matter were also proceeded against the Respondent, with another case bearing file reference no. PR- 01/15-DD/13/15/DC/606/17 filed by the Complainant of the extant matter. On perusal of both complaints, the Committee is of the opinion that the charges alleged in extant matter against the Respondent were the same as alleged against him in case registered with file reference no. PR- 01/15-DD/13/15/DC/606/17 that had already been decided and punished. Thus, Committee decided to dismiss the extant matter.

Conclusion

6. In view of the above, the Committee without touching upon the merits of the case and in terms of the reasoning discussed in para 5 above was of the considered opinion that the extant complaint against the Respondent i.e. CA. Rajnish Kumar Agrawal (M. No. 072913) be dismissed.



7. Accordingly, in terms of Rule 19 (2) of the Chartered Accountants (Procedure of Investigations of Professional and Other Misconduct and Conduct of Cases) Rules, 2007, the Committee passed order for closing the case against the Respondent.

Sd/-(CA. NIHAR N JAMBUSARIA) PRESIDING OFFICER

(SHRI ARUN KUMAR)
MEMBER, GOVERNMENT NOMINEE

[Approved through mail dated 09/08/2021]
(MS. NITA CHOWDHURY)
MEMBER, GOVERNMENT NOMINEE

[Approved through mail dated 13/08/2021]
(CA. G.SEKAR)
MEMBER

[Approved through mail dated 06/09/2021]
(CA. MANU AGRAWAL)
MEMBER

业

प्रमाणित सत्य प्रतिलिपि / Certified true copy
सीए, शुनीत कुमार/CA. Suneel Kumar
सहायक सदिव/Assistant Secretary
अनुशासनासक विदेशालय/Disciplinary Directorate
इंग्टिट्यूट और सार्टर एकाउटेंट्स और इंडिया
The Institute of Chartered Accountants of India
आर्मिएआई नवन, विसास नगर, शाहदरा, विल्ली—110032
ICAI Bhawan, Vishwas Nagar, Shahdra, Delhi-110032